

Comment Set C.129: David Baral

From: David Baral [mailto:david@rcbaral.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2006 2:17 PM
To: Marian Kadota; Boccio, John; Halligan, Julie
Cc: Laurie Ostrom
Subject: Edison Antelope-Pardee 500Kv transmission line

I am sure you are sick of hearing from people such as myself but I hope you understand our concern. You would not want this line in your backyard. We ask that Route 5 be eliminated from consideration. For all of the right reasons Route 1-4 should be considered over Route 5. I am no expert, but Route 1 seems the most sensible. I do appreciate the great work you do for the forest service and our utility providers. We have to protect our forest but not at the expense of our communities. You will not reduce the potential for lawsuits by putting the lines in Agua Dulce. Put the lines in Agua Dulce and you are guaranteed lawsuits. This is not a threat but a reality. Reduced fire fighting ability, reduction in access to our only airport, negative impact on our community socially, environmentally and economically!!!!!! I am a member of the NRA and the Sierra Club. I don't think any Sierra Club member would vote for Route 5.

C.129-1

David L. Baral
R.C. Baral & Company
Business Management
818 905-0151 phone
818 789-2194 fax

Response to Comment Set C.129: David Baral

- C.129-1 Thank you for your comment. As stated in 1500.2(d) of the CEQ regulations implementing NEPA, federal agencies shall encourage and facilitate public involvement in decisions which affect the quality of the human environment. Your comments and concerns are an important part of the NEPA/CEQA process. With regard to the elimination of Alternative 5, please see General Response GR-4 regarding the alternatives identification process for the Project. An alternative can only be removed from consideration if it is infeasible or does not meet the stated project objectives.